

## Provincial Privileging Standards Project

Thoughts on how to make the provincial Privileging Standards Project more relevant and effective, and a consideration of the project's unintended consequences, followed by a reply from the authors of "Changes to medical staff privileging in British Columbia" (*BCMJ* 2014;56:23-27).

**Granger Avery, MBBS,  
Jeanette Boyd, MD,  
Stuart Iglesias, MD, C.  
Stuart Johnston, MBChB,  
Michael C. Klein, MD,  
Alan Ruddiman, MBChB,  
Robert Woollard, MD**

**W**e fully support the decision to improve the self-regulation of our profession and the resultant reassurance of the public about doctors' efficacy. We offer some thoughts on how the provincial Privileging Standards Project might be made more relevant and effective, moving from permissive to criteria-based privileging, for

---

Dr Avery is a rural physician in Port McNeill and executive director of the Rural Coordination Centre of BC (RCCbc). Dr Boyd is a rural physician in Nelson and lead of the provincial Rural Obstetrics Network. Dr Iglesias is a rural physician in Bella Bella and lead of the provincial Enhanced Surgical Skills Network. Dr Johnston is a rural physician in Oliver and associate director of RCCbc. Dr Klein is a Vancouver physician and researcher with a focus on maternal health. Dr Ruddiman is a rural physician in Oliver and co-chair of the Joint Standing Committee on Rural Issues. Dr Woollard is a Vancouver physician and leader in fostering the development of social accountability within medical schools.

---

*This article has been peer reviewed.*

rural medicine. We also anticipate unintended consequences from the project's reliance on numbers—loss of maternity, anesthesia, surgery, and emergency services in rural BC.

### Currency

A practitioner begins his or her career with a currency capital account—large on training and education and low on experience. The account is sustained by procedural experience, CPD, team-based care, and measured outcomes of practice examined in local and regional continuous quality improvement systems.

Some procedures, all complex and requiring extensive support, show evidence that volume thresholds are, indeed, a prerequisite for good outcomes. Examples are cardiac and thoracic surgery.<sup>1,2</sup>

For procedures that are performed in rural BC, there is no evidence that there is any minimum volume to sustain either currency or competency.<sup>3</sup> Unfortunately, the methodology chosen by the provincial Privileging Standards Project to find a number seems to belong more to gaming theory than it does to evidence-based medicine and is unlikely to be helpful in assessing competency or currency.

### Competency

Privileging must clearly be linked to competency. Is currency (in the absence of clear statements about

competency) being used as a surrogate for competency?

The literature on competency and the avoidance of medical error directs us to the importance of systems—in particular, continuous quality improvement systems, including measured outcomes, risk identification, and risk management protocols.<sup>4</sup> Outcomes are formally assessed in protected discussion, directing improvement strategies.

Currency, in a low-volume generalist model, does not belong exclusively to an individual practitioner. Rather, it must be examined within the context of a program of care as typically delivered in a rural setting. It is this theme of team currency and competence that is foundational to programs like MORE-OB and the CARE Course.

### Unintended consequence: Loss of medical services

We fear that an unintended consequence of the adoption of volume thresholds as markers of currency and competency will be the departure from rural BC of physicians with advanced skills in surgery, anesthesia, emergency, and maternity care. Indeed, this has already happened.

These practitioners of procedural care work within a generalist model, which validates their low volumes.<sup>5</sup> The provincial Privileging Standards Project proposes to replace this model

with one in which the tracking of their procedure volumes would identify them as outliers.

As everyone is aware, it is difficult to recruit and retain physicians with both the appropriate advanced skills and the inclination to practise in rural BC. Volume thresholds and identification as an outlier will cause physicians to further avoid these work environments.

There is a substantial body of evidence confirming that small volume rural outcomes are as good or better when compared to higher volume programs.<sup>3,6,7</sup> Equally important, there are no studies that document poorer outcomes for these programs. Collectively, maternity, surgery, anaesthesia, and emergency medicine services stabilize rural health. In their absence, the literature describes a cascade effect where, ultimately, affected communities lose services.<sup>8-10</sup>

A privileging project designed to improve a specialist problem in diagnostic imaging cannot be effectively transplanted to generalist rural medicine.

## References

- Hannan EL, Raczyk M, Kavey RE, et al. Pediatric cardiac surgery: The effect of hospital and surgeon volume on in-hospital mortality. *Pediatrics* 1998;101:963-969.
- Dimick JB, Pronovost PJ, Cowan JA, et al. Surgical volume and quality of care for esophageal resection: Do high-volume hospitals have fewer complications? *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003;75:337-341.
- Iglesias S, Hutten-Czapski P. Joint position paper on training for rural family practitioners in advanced maternity skills and cesarean section. *Can J Rural Med* 1999; 4:209-216.
- Kritchevsky SB, Simmons BP. Continuous quality improvement. Concepts and applications for physician care. *JAMA* 1991; 266:1817-1823.
- World Rural Conference on Generalism, Australian College of Rural and Remote Medicine. Cairns consensus statement on rural generalist medicine. 2013. [self-published document]. Accessed 13 August 2014. <http://rccbc.ca/CairnsConsensus>.
- Hays RB, Evans RJ, Veitch C. The quality of procedural rural medical practice in Australia. *Rural Remote Health* 2005;5:474.
- Krones R, Radford P, Cunningham C, et al. Thrombolysis for acute ST elevation myocardial infarction: A pilot study comparing results from GP led small rural health emergency departments with results from a physician led sub-regional emergency department. *Rural Remote Health* 2012;12:2013.
- Bindman AB, Keane D, Lurie N. A public hospital closes. Impact on patients' access to care and health status. *JAMA* 1990;264:2899-2904.
- Society of Rural Physicians of Canada. Rural Hospital Service Closures. 2009. Accessed 6 August 2014. [www.srpc.ca/PDF/H\\_closures\\_2009.pdf](http://www.srpc.ca/PDF/H_closures_2009.pdf).
- Holmes GM, Slifkin RT, Randolph RK, et al. The effect of rural hospital closures on community economic health. *Health Serv Res* 2006;41:467-485.

A link to the group's position paper, *Provincial Privileging Project concerns*, is available at [bcmj.org](http://bcmj.org).

## Dr Slater and Ms Bloch-Hansen reply

Jon Slater, MD, FRCPC, MBA,  
Emma Bloch-Hansen, MBA

**W**e have read the commentary from Drs Avery, Boyd, Iglesias, Johnston, Klein, Ruddiman, and Woollard with some concern. We accept that change engenders fear, but we disagree with the assertions made. The privileging dictionary project is only one of a larger suite of projects to address credentialing and privileging activities across the health system. In responding to the concerns raised we appeal to hope and to a better future for the physicians of this province. We anticipate, once implemented, the privileg-

ing dictionary project will grant physicians the opportunity to enter into conversations regarding the supports they need to maintain and exercise the skills employed in clinical practice.

In undertaking this work (56 expert panels participating), we have consulted widely with practitioners from all disciplines, with boards of governance, and with administrators across the province, and we are using what we have learned to articulate the guiding principles below. While these guiding principles are not the reason this project was initiated, they are a beneficial by-product.

First, all practitioners in the province should feel supported in clinical practice. While a certain skill set is required for each medical staff position in the province, many physicians are reluctant to discuss their level of comfort performing these skills. This project creates a safe environment and context for this to occur and for discussions on how comfort may be maintained or restored.

Second, we must not confuse currency with competency. The training colleges are only now beginning to address the challenge of defining competence for the practising physician. We are interested in this issue, but it is beyond the scope of our project. Other initiatives will address competency.

Third, currency is an estimate of the level of activity below which a collegial discussion about support should be triggered. It is not a disqualifier. This discussion should be guided not only by the expectations and standards outlined in the dictionary but also by the risks inherent in the privilege being discussed and by similar activities that contribute to the skill under consideration. This is an opportunity to reflect with a respected colleague on one's professional practice and to deliberately plan an approach to skills maintenance.

Lastly, we do understand that the

*Continued on page 356*

Continued from page 349

Southern Medical Program complete 2 years of undergraduate academic training at UBC Okanagan and the clinical teaching campus at Kelowna General Hospital. They transition to area hospitals and clinics for their third and fourth years. UBC's 2-year family practice residency training program is distributed throughout the province, involving 16 educational sites.

A new emergency medicine residency training site in Kelowna is also being launched, along with the Vancouver Coastal Health site for family practice residencies in North Vancouver.

Expansion of the family practice residency program is part of a combined effort by the provincial government, health authorities, UBC Faculty of Medicine, and local communities.

### Recycle electronic medical devices

Unwanted electronic medical equipment can be dropped off at a Return-It Electronics collection site for recycling, including items such as electronic stethoscopes, microscopes, and blood pressure monitors. No fees apply when recycling any of these products. For hospitals and clinics with a large quantity of electronics, pickup can be arranged at no cost through the large-volume program. Information on depot locations, large-volume pickup, and a list of acceptable items can be found at [www.return-it.ca/electronics/products](http://www.return-it.ca/electronics/products), or call 1 800 330-9767.

The Return-It Electronics program is a provincially approved and regulatory compliant stewardship program that recovers unwanted or obsolete electronics and for recycling in a safe and environmentally responsible manner.

### Correction: Author credentials

Authors of the article "Canadian endocrinologists' views on growth hormone replacement therapy in adult survivors of pediatric brain tumors following achievement of final height" (*BCMJ* 2014;56:230-235) have corrected information pertaining to three of the authors' names and credentials. The affected authors' corrected information is: Haroon Hasan, BSc, MPH; A. Fuchsia Howard, RN, PhD; and Karen Goddard, MBChB, MA, FRCP (UK), FRCPC. The information has been corrected in the online version of the article, available at [bcmj.org/articles/canadian-endocrinologists'-views-growth-hormone-replacement-therapy-adult-survivors-pediatr](http://bcmj.org/articles/canadian-endocrinologists'-views-growth-hormone-replacement-therapy-adult-survivors-pediatr).

Continued from page 356

dictionaries being developed will need maintenance and improvement. This is an iterative process; its implementation will be closely monitored by the province, the colleges, and the health authorities. Any unintended consequences will be addressed quickly.

In the absence of a comprehensive strategy of support, rural health care has continued to face difficulties. Some may assert that our approach will lead to physicians leaving rural health. We point out that this is already happening and we believe that a planned and collaborative approach to maintaining skills may be part of the solution. **BMJ**

Attention:

## British Columbia Doctors

### Practice Closure Information

For over 17 years, RSRS has assisted Retiring and Relocating Physicians and Physician Estates with **Practice Closure Assistance**, typically **at little or no charge**. RSRS is physician-managed and works within the guidelines set forth by Doctors of BC and the CPSBC.

RSRS works *with* you to put a timeline into place that works *for* you. We will store both your **paper** and **electronic medical records** for the required term; notify your patients; facilitate authorized record transfer without prohibitive fees; arrange for pickup and delivery to our national records facility, as well as digitally scan and shred as required.

When you're ready to make a change, call **Canada's #1 provider** of practice closure services.

**Contact:**

**1-888-563-3732, Ext. 230**

**PStewart@RSRS.com**

**Ask for Pauleen Stewart**



[www.RecordSolutions.ca](http://www.RecordSolutions.ca)